

Plan of Support for Post Quantum Crypto (PQC) in SPDM

August 2024

www.dmtf.org



Disclaimer

- The information in this presentation represents a snapshot of work in progress within the DMTF SPDM WG.
- This information is subject to change without notice. The standard specifications remain the normative reference for all information.
- For additional information, see the DMTF website.
- This information is a summary of the information that will appear in the specifications. See the specifications for further details.



Background

- NIST releases finalized standards for the following PQC algorithms on August 13, 2024.
 - (<u>FIPS 203</u>) "Kyber: Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism Standard"; replacing Diffie-Hellman
 - (<u>FIPS 204</u>) "Dilithium: Module-Lattice-Based Digital Signature Standard"; replacing RSA and ECDSA
 - (FIPS 205) "SPHINCS+: Stateless Hash-Based Digital Signature Standard"; replacing RSA and ECDSA
- Another PQC signature winner but no public draft yet: Falcon
- NIST is still looking for more digital signature schemes, preferably not based on Module-Lattice.



PQC's Impact to SPDM – Signature and Key Exchange

Message	Digital Signature	Key Exchange
("core" messages)		
CERTIFICATES	Yes	No
CHALLENGE_AUTH	Yes	No
ENDPOINT_INFO	Yes	No
MEASUREMENTS	Yes	No
KEY_EXCHANGE_RSP	Yes	Yes
FINISH	Yes	No
("supporting" messages)		
NEGOTIATE_/ALGORITHMS	Yes	No
SET_CERTIFICATE / _RSP	Yes	No
SET_KEY_PAIR_INFO / _ACK	Yes	No
GET_ / KEY_PAIR_INFO	Yes	No
(others)	No	No

www.dmtf.org



Dependency on Industry Standards

"Core" messages	FIPS 203	FIPS 204 and/or 205	X.509 cert	TLS
CERTIFICATES	No	Yes	Yes	No
CHALLENGE_AUTH	No	Yes	Yes or No*	No
ENDPOINT_INFO	No	Yes	Yes or No*	No
MEASUREMENTS	No	Yes	Yes or No*	No
KEY_EXCHANGE_RSP	Yes	Yes	Yes or No*	No**
FINISH	Yes	Yes	No	No**

Yes = Need this standard to support PQC before this SPDM message can support PQC.

No = This SPDM message may support PQC even if this standard does not support PQC.

www.dmff.org

^{*} When the public key is pre-provisioned to peer (instead of sent in CERTIFICATES).

^{**} SPDM WG is leaning towards specifying key exchange protocol with ML-KEM even if PQC version of TLS is not available yet. When PQC version of TLS is published, SPDM's key exchange protocol may be updated and follow suit.



PQC Support Plan

- Step 1:
 - A. Adopt PQC only signature algorithms (FIPS 204 and 205) in SPDM 1.4. Benefits these messages:
 - GET_CERTIFICATE / CERTIFICATE / SET_CERTIFICATE
 - CHALLENGE_AUTH
 - ENDPOINT INFO
 - MEASUREMENTS
 - KEY_PAIR_INFO / SET_KEY_PAIR_INFO
 - B. Adopt PQC only key encapsulation algorithm (FIPS 203) with X.509 cert supports PQC in SPDM 1.4. Benefits these messages:
 - KEY_EXCHANGE / KEY_EXCHANGE_RSP
 - FINISH
- Step 2: Consider adopting PQ/T (hybrid) signature and key encapsulation schemes once the industry has general agreement. This addition may be captured in a later SPDM release. Benefits all core messages.



Request for Industry Feedback

- 1. What are your thoughts on the updated plan for PQC in SPDM?
- 2. When does your company need hybrid schemes in SPDM?

Please provide feedback to your SPDM WG representative or the <u>DMTF Feedback Portal</u> at https://www.dmtf.org/standards/feedback by Oct 29, 2024



References

All are internet drafts; nothing finalized

- Hybrid key exchange in TLS 1.3 [link]
- Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure: Algorithm Identifiers for ML-DSA [link]
- Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Algorithm Identifiers for Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encapsulation Mechanism (ML-KEM) [link]
- Composite ML-DSA for use in Internet PKI [link]
- A Mechanism for Encoding Different Paired Certificates [link]
- Related Certificates for Use in Multiple Authentications within a Protocol [link]